## WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

March 2, 2007



TO:

Internal File

THRU:

D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor

FROM:

David Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE:

2006 3rd Quarter Water Monitoring, Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates (SCA),

Star Point Waste Fuel Company, C/007/0042-WQ06-3, Task ID #2693

## 1. Was data submitted for all required monitoring sites? YES [X] NO [ ]

SCA is currently mining the coal refuse piles accumulated from the Star Pint Mine. The Star Point Mine is reclaimed. SCA mining operation consists of removing refuse material and hauling it to the SCA power cogeneration plant at Sunnyside, Utah.

Information for this report was evaluated from file 0:\007042.swf\Water Quality\Datacheck2004format.xls. SCA monitors UPDES effluents from sedimentation ponds on the site. There are no perennial streams, lakes springs or seeps in the immediate vicinity that can be influenced directly by mining activities. Three sedimentation ponds are located in the permit area and shown Maps 731.720a and 731.720b. The parameters to be monitored are specified in the UPDES Permit UTG-040025, see Section 742.221d of the MRP.

**Springs** No spring to monitor

**Streams** All streams are ephemeral.

Wells No wells to monitor.

**UPDES** There are three active discharge sites at the Star Point Waste Fuel site,

Sedimentation Ponds 5, 6 and 9. Discharges from the permit area are located near Sage Brush Canyon. The locations of the three sedimentation ponds are shown

on Map 731.720a of the MRP.

The operator monitored and submitted 2nd quarter UPDES information for July, August September, 2006.

| 2.  | Were all required parameters reported for each site?  | YES [X]    | NO [ ] |
|---|---|------------|--------|
| Springs   |   |            |        |
|   | Not required.   |            |        |
| Streams   |   |            |        |
|   | Not required.   |            |        |
| UPDES   |   |            |        |
| The Permittee is required to monitor for Flow (gpm), pH, Oil and Grease (mg/L), No visible sheen, Total Suspended Solids (mg/L), Total Iron (mg/L) and Total Dissolved Solids (lbs/day). There was flow reported for all of the sites during the quarter. |   |            |        |
| 3.  | Were any irregularities found in the data?  | YES[]      | NO [X] |
|   | There were no discharges from any of the sedimentation ponds.   |            |        |
| 4.  | On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data   |            |        |
| Does not specify  |   |            |        |
| 5.  | Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?   |            |        |
|   | Does the Mine Operator need to submit more information to full fill this quarter's monitoring requirements? YesX_ No    |            |        |
|   | A copy of the data file will be e-mailed to the DOGM Mine   | Inspector. |        |
| 6.  | Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.  Did the Mine Operator submit all the missing and/or irregular data (datum)? |            |        |
| The review of this report and the previous report were delayed to conduct permit reviews. The data will be tracked to ensure and the database is updated.   |   |            |        |

 $O: \verb|\|007042.swf| Water Quality| WQ06-3Task2693.doc$